

Social competence as an educational goal: the role of the ethnic composition and the urban environment of the school

Annemieke Zwaans, Ineke van Veen, Monique Volman and Geert ten Dam

Correspondence:

A. Zwaans
Universiteit van Amsterdam
Graduate School of Teaching and Learning
Wibautstraat 4
1091 GM Amsterdam
The Netherlands
+31 (0)20 5251288
A.M.T.Zwaans@uva.nl

Social competence as an educational goal: the role of the ethnic composition and the urban environment of the school

Abstract

This article concerns the relationship between social-educational goals and the school context. We used a questionnaire to map the educational goals of teachers in pre-vocational education in the field of social competence, and investigated whether these goals were related to the percentage of students from ethnic-minority groups and to the urban environment of the school. The results show that all teachers, regardless of the school context, value promoting the social development of their students as an educational goal. Although we did not find a relationship between the context the teachers work in and the social-competence goals they want to work on in their subject, teachers who work in a more complex school environment, regarding the ethnic heterogeneity of the student population as well as the urban environment of the school, perceived a number of social-competence goals as being less attainable for their students. The results are discussed in the light of research on the competences young people need to participate in a multicultural society and the implications for teachers and teaching.

1. Introduction

Teachers' role concerning the social development of students has become a widely discussed theme in education in recent years, owing to public concern about social cohesion in present-day society. The lack of social cohesion, or threat thereof, particularly applies to environments with many population groups that differ socially and culturally (Hansen, 2001; Gordon, 2003). Like most other West-European countries, the Netherlands has rapidly developed into a multi-ethnic society over the last 20 to thirty years (see Rijkschroeff, ten Dam, Duyvendak, de Gruijter & Pels, 2005). First and second generations of migrant youngsters from Turkey, Morocco, Surinam, the Antilles and several African countries currently comprise over fifty percent of the student population in secondary education in the large cities in the Netherlands. Today's young people are expected to learn how to acquire the 'social capital' (Putnam, 1993, 2000), i.e. the attitudes, knowledge and skills, needed to be able to function in such a social context. But whereas all schools need to prepare their students to participate in a democratic and multicultural society, schools that are situated in a culturally complex setting have to fulfil this task in a specific context. They are often directly confronted with the social tensions inherent to a multi-ethnic society (Paulle, 2005; Leeman, 2006).

Against this background, schools strive to achieve many and diverse types of objectives concerning the social development of students. The focus may, for example, be on the personal well-being of the student, with objectives like students' self-confidence or their attitude towards learning. The quality of the interaction between individuals is another important social goal, including objectives like respect for others and tolerance for social differences. Finally, goals regarding the relationships between social groups can be encountered. (Boyd & Arnold, 2000; see also Ennis, 1994)

Recent Dutch research shows that the orientation of teachers' objectives regarding the social development of students indeed varies from working on students' self-confidence and their ability to cope, to wanting to stimulate their feeling of social responsibility and knowledge of society (Zwaans, ten Dam, Volman, 2006). Thus teachers' answers to the question from which perspective social goals should be chosen and evaluated, and which aspects of social competence should be part of the development of children and young people in present-day society, appear to vary. The literature pays little attention, however, to the factors determining teachers' orientation on social-educational goals. This article explores the extent to which teachers' opinions regarding social-educational goals are formed by the context they work in.

2. Social competence and the school context

Two perspectives on the social development of young people can be differentiated in research on social competence: an 'educating for adulthood' perspective and an 'educating for citizenship' perspective (ten Dam & Volman, 2005). In the 'educating for adulthood' perspective, social competence is related to the age or specific phase of development of a child (see e.g., Englund, Levy, Hyson & Sroufe, 2000; Jackson & Bijstra, 2000). The term 'social competence' is used to denote the intended outcome of guidance and instructional strategies to enhance the pro-social development at student level. It refers to the totality of knowledge, skills and attitudes which students need to fulfil developmental tasks, such as making friends or co-operating with peers (e.g., Elias & Weissberg, 1994; Raver & Zigler, 1997; Rose-Krasnor, 1997).

In the citizenship perspective the focus is on the demands that are made on young people to be able to function as citizens in a democratic and multicultural (plural) society. In the literature mainly concepts like character education, moral education and democratic education are used to denote the societal element of social competence. The importance of enhancing the social development of students is seen here more in the light of 'social tasks' (cf. Rychen & Salganik, 2003) than of developmental tasks (which every individual is confronted with in a particular order in his or her development). Social tasks explicitly refer to functioning in a group or more broadly in society. These are tasks that everyone must be able to fulfil and that are inherently social by nature, such as participating in society and dealing with social differences (e.g., Kaplan, 1997; Kerr, 1999; Glass, 2000; Solomon, Watson & Battistich, 2001; Rychen & Salganik, 2003; van der Wal, 2004). Yet social competence encompasses more than participating in a given society; socially competent citizens must also be able to make their own critical contribution to society (Wardekker, 2001; ten Dam & Volman, 2003; Veugelers & Vedder, 2003). Therefore, 'reflection' is seen as an essential aspect of social competence because reflection increases the quality of participation.

The 'educating for adulthood' perspective puts a relatively high emphasis on problem behaviour and the identification of young people at risk. The 'educating for citizenship' perspective, on the other hand, emphasizes more explicitly that education must aim at cognitive and social goals for all children.

Social competence is a normative concept. What is considered to be desirable from the 'educating for adulthood' perspective and/or the social perspective is not free of values and does not by definition apply to everyone in the same way. Hence, answering the question which components of social competence should form part of the development of children and young people, depends on the society in which they are participating or will have to participate.

Participation in a multi-ethnic society is an important reason for enhancing social competence. Emphasizing the importance of this objective is not restricted to just one of the perspectives on social competence mentioned above. The two different perspectives do place different accents, however, in their elaboration of this objective.

The 'educating for adulthood' perspective pays attention to the intrapersonal and interpersonal components of social competence, such as promoting students' self-confidence and working on their social and communicative skills (see ten Dam & Volman, 2005). This results in an exploration of the consequences of growing up in a multicultural society, in particular the consequences for interaction between young people. Being able to form interracial friendships in modern society, for example, requires multicultural sensitivity (Hunter & Elias, 2000). Young people must be capable of working together and communicating effectively with people from different cultural backgrounds, and to show respect and understanding for cultural diversity. This has been defined as a liberal form of multiculturalism (Leeman & Ledoux, 2003).

Within the 'educating for citizenship' perspective the accent is on the societal components of social competence and on critical reflection regarding social structures and social relations (see ten Dam & Volman, 2005). In line with this, special attention is given to the balance of power between different ethnic groups, as well as to the conflicts and processes of negotiation regarding cultural differences (cf. Ogbu, 1993 and 2003 about the importance of the interpretation of cultural differences). Young people must acquire insight into the social structure of the multi-ethnic society. They must learn to deal with social tensions (see also Boyd & Arnold, 2000; Naval, Print, & Veldhuis, 2002; Print & Coleman, 2003). This 'critical approach to the multicultural society' (Leeman & Ledoux, 2003) is less accepted in society than the liberal form of multiculturalism mentioned earlier (Gutman, 1994). This possibly applies even more to a concept of education, which traditionally places a major accent on liberal values like individual development.

Within the broader context of society that young people are part of, orientations on objectives in the field of social competence are linked to the specific context in which one is supposed to act in a socially competent way (cf. Foster-Clark & Blyth, 1992). In this article we focus on two characteristics of the context in which teachers try to achieve social goals: the degree of urbanization of the school environment and the schools' student population.

The ethnic composition of the student population is an important factor in creating the living and learning environment of schools (see Paulle, 2005; Leeman & Pels, 2006). No empirical research has been done, however, on the relationship between social competence as an educational goal and the school context as determined, among other factors, by the ethnic composition of the student population. Research does show that the

expectations teachers have of students, which are partly determined by students' ethnic background, have an influence on the (cognitive) learning contents offered to these students and on their achievements (e.g. Oates, 2003; Farkas, 2003). Other research shows the influence of the social-ethnic composition of the student population on classroom processes (Elbers & De Haan, 2004) and on cognitive and social educational outcomes (see e.g. Verkuyten & Thijs, 2000; Peetsma, Van der Veen, Koopman & Schooten, 2003). Such research, however, is not about the social goals aspired to by teachers.

Several studies relate the ethnic composition of the student population to the possibilities, or lack thereof, of intercultural education. Intercultural education is considered to be important for all students, with a view to democratic citizenship in a multicultural society. Until recently, it was argued that ethnically heterogeneous schools had 'an advantage' in this respect (Leeman & Ledoux, 2003; Fase, 1993). They were supposed to have more resources, i.e. their ethnically heterogeneous student population, to give shape to intercultural education (see e.g. Paccione, 2000). In the last few years the emphasis has shifted to the demands the ethnic heterogeneity in schools puts on students and teachers, in terms of maintaining a task-oriented learning climate (cf. Paulle, 2005). We wonder whether the degree of heterogeneity in schools is in any way reflected in the kinds of objectives teachers aspire to in the field of social competence. Do schools differ in the aspects of social competence they particularly pay attention to according to the composition of their student population?

The second context factor that we include in our study is the *environment of the school in which students have to learn* to act in a socially competent way. The social tasks, for example, young people are confronted with in large cities, are different to those in less urbanized areas, which implies that other components of social competence are demanded. Gordon (2003) describes the challenges of functioning and growing up in an urban environment (see also Zhou, 2003). The presence of many different population groups (in terms of ethnic and cultural background and social-economic position) with different interests and points of view, groups that are dependent on each other in all manner of ways, makes conflict almost unavoidable. 'The city' makes relatively heavy demands on the ability of young people to deal with cultural differences and social tensions. It supposes that youngsters acquire insight into social processes. The high population density in cities facilitates on the one hand a great diversity of social contact. On the other hand, it is an invitation to withdraw into anonymity or it prompts clashes between groups (Spierings, 2003). From the point of view that social cohesion is important for society, young people in the large cities in particular are expected to have social capital that contributes to this cohesion. Young people must have the ability to create 'bonding' within a group, to realize the relationship between groups ('bridging') and to contribute to the relationships between individuals, groups and institutions ('linking') (Green & Preston, 2001; Putnam, 1993 and 2000). We therefore expected teachers in schools in the big cities to pay more attention to the competences young people need to live in a socially heterogeneous environment than teachers in schools in small or medium-sized towns. As far as we have been able to ascertain, there is no empirical research investigating this relationship.

This article focuses on the extent to which teachers in diverse educational contexts strive for different types of objectives, under the general heading of ‘social competence’. Earlier, we have expounded two factors of the context in which teachers aim at social-competence goals. These factors are linked, but do not always coincide. From an ethnic point of view, schools in the large Dutch cities are situated in more varied social environments than schools in small and medium-sized towns in the Netherlands. Generally, Dutch schools in a big-city environment have an ethnically heterogeneous student population but this is not necessarily the case (Karsten, Ledoux, Roeleveld, Felix & Elshof, 2003). The increasing segregation in education has resulted in the emergence of predominantly ‘white’ and ‘black’ schools, particularly in the large cities. We have therefore divided the general question this article poses into two sub-questions:

- 1) To what extent are the social-competence goals teachers strive for and consider to be attainable linked to the ethnic composition of the student population of the school?
- 2) To what extent are the social-competence goals teachers strive for and consider to be attainable linked to the degree of urbanization of the school?

These questions have been investigated in pre-vocational education in the Netherlands. For this purpose we formulated the following hypotheses. Firstly, we assumed that the objectives teachers strive for in the field of social competence are linked to the ethnic composition of the student population. Teachers in more heterogeneous schools will be more aware of the social baggage required by their students to function in society than teachers in more homogeneous schools. Therefore, we expected that the more heterogeneous the student population of a school is, the more attention teachers will pay to the societal and reflection components of social competence (citizenship perspective). At the same time, they are confronted with the complexity of living and learning together in heterogeneous classrooms and the resulting social tensions. This context may influence how they perceive the attainability of social-competence goals for their students. We therefore expected teachers in ethnically heterogeneous schools to consider social-competence goals to be less attainable for their students. This expectation applies equally to the components of social competence derived from a) the ‘educating for adulthood’ perspective and b) the ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective.

Secondly, we expected that the objectives teachers strive for in the field of social competence are related to the urban environment of the school. Elaborating on studies on ‘social capital’ for ‘social cohesion’ (Green & Preston, 2001; Putnam, 1993 and 2000) we have formulated an analogous hypothesis to the one concerning the relationship between objectives in the field of social competence and the ethnic composition of the student population: the more complex students’ urban environment is and the greater its diversity, we expected that teachers would pay proportionately more attention to the societal components of social competence (citizenship perspective). At the same time we expected teachers to consider objectives for social competence to be less attainable for students in schools in the large cities, given that the demands made on this group of youngsters are greater than those made on youngsters in less urbanized areas. We expected this to apply to both the ‘educating for adulthood’ perspective and the ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective.

3. Method

Research group and procedure

The data for this study are derived from a bigger Dutch study on the goals for social competence which teachers strive for in stimulating and enhancing social competence in their students (Zwaans, ten Dam & Volman, 2005). In total, 284 teachers of grade-nine students (age 14-15) in pre-vocational education (teaching Dutch language and a vocational subject, either care or technology) completed a questionnaire on learning objectives in the social domain. Pre-vocational education was chosen because of the relatively high percentage of ethnic-minority students. The teachers were selected on the basis of an a-selective random sample.

The response to the questionnaire was 37%. Of the respondents, 36% was female and 64% was male. A non-response survey showed no difference between the non-response group and the response group in the extent to which they consider social competence to be an important educational goal.

The research data were supplemented with data from the Dutch Ministry of Education regarding the percentage of students from ethnic-minority groups in the respondents' schoolsⁱ and about the degree of urbanization of the location of the respondents' school. We used the unique identity code of every school in the Netherlands to make this match possible, which did indeed prove possible for 269 of the 284 respondents. By means of this link between research data and administrative data from the ministry, it appeared that the ethnic composition of the schools in the response group is on average 10% (SD 12%). The minimum number of ethnic-minority students in the data appears to be 0%, the maximum 69%.

We determined the degree of urbanization of the schools of the 262 respondents on the basis of the place names. It appears that 12 of the teachers who responded work at a school in an environment with a high degree of urbanization (one of the four big cities in the Netherlands); 56 teachers at a school in an environment with an average degree of urbanization (medium-sized towns); and 194 at a school in an environment with a low degree of urbanization (rural areas).

As expected, there is a clear relationship between the degree of urbanization and the percentage of ethnic-minority students. In the schools in the large cities, 39% of the students belonged to an ethnic-minority group, 14% in the schools in the middle-sized cities and 7% in the other schools. But it also proved that a small number of the schools with less than 2% ethnic-minority students are located in the large cities.

Instrument

The 'objectives of social competence' questionnaire is based on a conceptual framework for social competence as an educational goal developed by ten Dam and Volman (2005) as presented in section 2. In this framework components of the broad concept of social competence, which young people need to participate adequately in a democratic and multicultural society, were selected on the basis of two criteria:

- a) Contribution to social chances;
- b) Contribution to social responsibility.

The conceptual framework differentiates on the one hand between the intrapersonal, interpersonal and societal dimension of social competence; on the other, between attitudes, knowledge, reflection and skills.

[insert figure 1]

Each compound in Figure 1 was operationalized as an item representing a concrete goal which teachers could or want to strive for. These goals, 39 in total, were included in the questionnaire in random order. Some examples of the survey items are shown below, to give an impression of the questionnaire:

Intrapersonal dimension:

- to have confidence in your own abilities, your own thinking and behaviour (attitude)
- to have self-knowledge (knowledge)
- to understand what you're good at and what not, understand why that is (reflection)
- to show self-discipline, being able to regulate emotions and impulses (skill)

Interpersonal dimension:

- be willing to take responsibility for your relationships with other people (attitude)
- to know what conduct is appropriate in a situation, know social rules (knowledge)
- to understand how the things you say and do influence other people (reflection)
- be able to hold a conversation with different people in diverse situations (skill)

Societal dimension:

- be willing to strive for justice, be engaged with and stand up for other people (attitude)
- to have knowledge about the structure of society (knowledge)
- to understand how some groups of people are being excluded due to prevailing opinions, practices and habits (reflection)
- be able to manage differences between people regarding e.g. ethnicity, religion, social status (skill)

The teachers were asked to answer four questions in the following order on each item in the survey:

- (a) Do you think this item is an important developmental goal for youngsters? (existence of goal)
- (b) Is fostering this item an educational task of the school? (goal for school)
- (c) Do you consider this item to be an attainable goal for your students? (attainable goal)
- (d) Should this item also be addressed within the subject you teach? (own subject goal)

Questions (a), (b) and (d) are in a hierarchical order of increasing engagement with social competence as an educational goal. Question (c), however, is different. It concerns the extent to which teachers consider their own students can attain social-competence goals, regardless of the importance attached to these goals.

This four-step questioning procedure was set up for the following reasons. By asking about a specific item in a variety of ways we intended to tackle the problem of socially desirable responses. We presumed that the first and second questions - (a) and (b) - would not discriminate, due to the assumption that those questions regarding social competence are highly susceptible to socially desirable responses (who does not consider social competence an important issue?). With their answers to questions (a) and (b) the teachers could prove they were not 'against' social competence. We expected that, once teachers had been able to express this, they would be less likely to give socially desirable responses to questions (c) and (d). The data confirmed this supposition (see table 1). Hence it was decided to include only questions (c) and (d) in the analyses. Teachers were asked to indicate their opinion about the objectives of social competence on a 3-point scale, with 1 meaning 'no', 2 'slightly' and 3 'yes'.

[insert table 1]

Furthermore, the questionnaire asked for background information: age, gender, teaching experience, levels and domains of the curriculum in the teachers' schools (general secondary or pre-vocational education, technology or care), the subject(s) the teacher taught (Dutch, vocational subject), and the denomination of the school (Protestant, Catholic, Muslim, state, other). Lastly, the respondents were given the opportunity to make additional comments on the results at the end of the questionnaire and to indicate whether they would like to receive the report on the research results.

In a pilot study we checked whether the questionnaire was suitable for teachers in pre-vocational education. Some of the questions were then reformulated. Table 2 gives the Cronbachs alpha value for each scale. The average score for the items in question has been calculated per scale. In addition, average scores were calculated for the 'educating for adulthood' and the 'educating for citizenship' perspectives. For the former, these are the scores for the aspects in the intrapersonal and interpersonal columns with the exception of the reflection items. For the latter, these are the items in the societal column, including all the reflection items.

[insert table 2]

Analyses

To answer the first research question on the relationship between the social-competence goals, which teachers pursue and consider attainable, and the ethnic composition of the student population of the school, we calculated the non-parametric correlations between the scales (Spearman's rho for dimensions and aspects of social competence - see table 2) and the percentages of students from ethnic-minority groups. As indicated above, we expected a positive relationship between the percentage of students from ethnic-minority groups and the extent to which teachers pay attention to the societal components of social competence (the citizenship perspective) and a negative relationship with the extent to which they consider these objectives attainable for their students. We have operationalized the degree of ethnic heterogeneity in schools as the percentages of students from ethnic-minority groups.

This research does not include, as already mentioned, any schools with a homogeneous ethnic-minority population; the highest percentage of students from ethnic minorities is 69%. Only seven schools in our data have a student population with more than 50% from an ethnic-minority background. A starting point of this research was, therefore, that the higher the percentage of ethnic-minority students in a school is, the ethnic heterogeneity will also be higherⁱⁱ. In calculating the correlations, we have repeatedly checked whether they are linear. When this is not the case, we will point this out below.

To answer the research questions, we will present per sub-question (d, c), the average scores for the different dimensions (intrapersonal, interpersonal, societal), aspects (attitudes, knowledge, reflection and skills) and perspectives ('educating for adulthood' perspective and 'educating for citizenship' perspective) in relation to the degree of urbanization (big cities, medium-sized cities and other cities), and in relation to the heterogeneity of the student population. With the help of non-parametric correlations (Spearman's rho) we have tested whether there was evidence of significant correlations. We have also checked, with the help of partial correlations, whether any of the correlations found are still evident when controlling for the background characteristics of gender and the subject taught by the teachers.

4. Results

All teachers, regardless of the school context, appear to be in favour of social competence as an educational goal. Their answers to the questions on the attainability of the various social-competence goals and whether these objectives should indeed be worked on in their subjects are positive.

When looking at the difference between the attainability question and question concerning the social-competence goals strived for in their own subject, it appears that teachers score lower for all social-competence goals in the first question (attainability, mean = 2.23) than in the second (own subject, mean = 2.45) (see table 1).

Besides this and regardless of the ethnic composition of the school or the degree of urbanization its environment, all teachers score lower for the 'educating for citizenship' perspective than for the 'educating for adulthood' perspective. This holds true for both the attainability (mean citizenship perspective = 2.1; mean adulthood perspective = 2.3) and the extent to which social-competence goals are considered objectives for teachers' own subject (mean citizenship perspective = 2.4; mean adulthood perspective = 2.6).

Ethnic composition of the school

Table 3 presents the results of the questionnaire. It shows that the ethnic composition of the student population of the school is not related to the social-competence goals teachers say should be paid attention to in their subject. Teachers in schools in a relatively complex environment in terms of heterogeneity of the student population are thus not, as we expected, more aware of the social competences their students need.

Yet, the ethnic composition of the student population of the school is indeed related to the objectives teachers consider to be attainable for their students. The more

ethnically heterogeneous the school population is, the less attainable teachers consider the ‘interpersonal goals’. The results show a similar trend for the ‘intrapersonal goals’ and the ‘societal goals’ (not significant). If we also look at the different aspects and dimensions of the concept of social competence, we see that teachers’ estimation of attainability of the objectives for their students depends on the composition of the school population: the more ethnically heterogeneous the school population is, the lower teachers’ expectations are of their students attaining the ‘attitude objectives’ and the ‘skills objectives’. The differences between the ‘knowledge goals’ and the ‘reflection goals’ point in the same direction but are not significant. When controlling for the background characteristics of gender and subject taught by the teacher, the correlations remain practically the same.

[insert table 3]

In the section on ‘Social competence and the school context’, two perspectives on the social development of young people were differentiated: an ‘educating for adulthood’ perspective and an ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective. With regard to our first research question, the results show that the more ethnically heterogeneous the student population is, the less attainable teachers consider the social-competence goals derived from the ‘educating for adulthood’ perspective to be. Differences between teachers regarding the social-competence goals derived from the ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective show the same tendency (n.s.).

[insert table 4]

Urban environment of the school

The results on the question on the extent to which the social-competence goals teachers strive for and consider attainable are linked to the degree of urbanization of the school, show a similar picture (see table 5). There appears to be no relationship between the objectives teachers say they want to work on in their subject. Our hypothesis that the complexity of an urban environment matters in terms of teachers’ awareness of the social competences their students need, was not confirmed.

Differences between teachers are apparent, however, regarding the objectives that they consider attainable for their students. The greater the degree of urbanization of the school, the less teachers think the ‘intrapersonal goals’ are attainable. The results on ‘interpersonal goals’ and the ‘societal goals’ show the same trend (n.s.). Teachers consider the ‘attitude goals’ to be proportionately less attainable for their students, the more urbanized the environment of the school is. The differences between the ‘knowledge goals’ and ‘reflection goals’ also show the same tendency (n.s.). The ‘skills’ aspects do not show this tendency. When controlling for the background characteristics of gender and subject taught by the teacher, the correlations remain practically the same in this case too.

[insert table 5]

An analysis in terms of the different perspectives on social competence (table 6) shows first and foremost that teachers, regardless of the urban environment of the school, score lower on the 'educating for citizenship' perspective than on the 'educating for adulthood' perspective. There is a relationship between the urban environment of the school and the type of social-competence goals teachers consider to be attainable by their students. The more urban the environment of the school is, the less attainable teachers think the 'social-competence goals from the 'educating for adulthood' perspective' are. Once again, the differences between teachers regarding the social-competence goals derived from the 'educating for citizenship' perspective indicate the same tendency but are not significant.

[insert table 6]

5. Conclusions and discussion

In this article we have differentiated two context factors, the ethnic composition of the student population and the degree of urbanization of the environment of the school in relation to social competence as an educational goal. The focus of our study stems from the observation that social cohesion is decreasing in contemporary Western societies, and that this particularly applies to environments with many socially and culturally different population groups (Gordon, 2003). Today's young people are expected to learn how to acquire the 'social capital' to be able to function in such a social context. It is increasingly seen as a core task of education to prepare young people to participate in society (cf. Biesta & Lawy, 2006). To be able to do this in a responsible and adequate way, both the cognitive and the social development of students are important.

First and foremost, we conclude on the basis of the research results that all teachers, regardless of the school context, are in favour of social competence as an educational goal. Teachers agree that it is part of their task to contribute to the social competence of their students. Their answers to the question whether social-competence objectives should be worked on in their subject are always positive. Our research procedure was designed to ensure that these are more than socially desirable answers. Teachers' answers to the questions on the attainability of the various social-competence goals are also positive, but less positive than those on the extent to which they think such goals should be worked on in their own subject. Another qualification of this general conclusion is that teachers score lower for the 'educating for citizenship' perspective than for the 'educating for adulthood' perspective. This holds true for both the attainability of these goals and the extent to which they are considered to be objectives for teachers' own subjects. This is probably not surprising, since the 'educating for adulthood' perspective is the one that most closely relates to current discourses on teaching. In addition to a focus on knowledge building in these discourses, social skills and attitudes like motivation and self-esteem are now regarded as basic necessities for learning. The citizenship perspective may be contested more, as it is politically and ideologically more loaded than the educating for adulthood perspective. (Zwaans et al, 2005)

We expected that the more complex the context of the school is (in terms of the ethnic heterogeneity of the student population and degree of urbanization), the more teachers think that attention must be paid to the societal components of social competence

(the ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective). At the same time, we expected that teachers in a more complex school context would consider social-competence goals less attainable for their students. Our study only partly confirmed the hypotheses formulated on the differences between teachers working in different contexts.

Firstly, we could not find a relationship between the ethnic composition of the student population of the school and the social-competence goals teachers say they want to work on in their own subject. The expected relationship between the urban environment of the school and the types of social-competence goals teachers say they want to work on was also not evident. Teachers in schools in a relatively complex environment are thus not, as we supposed, more aware of the competences their students need to live in a socially heterogeneous environment, or at least, this was not manifested in the extent to which they want to work on social-competence goals in their own subject.

On the basis of the results of this research it can be concluded that both context factors – the ‘heterogeneity of the school’ and the ‘degree of urbanization of the environment where the school is located’ – are linked to teachers’ opinions on the attainability of a number of social-competence goals. Teachers who work in a more complex school environment, both in the sense of the ethnic heterogeneity of the student population and in the sense of the urban environment of the school, are more often of the opinion that social-competence goals are less attainable for their students than their colleagues elsewhere. In terms of the different perspectives on social competence, this pertains to the objectives derived from the ‘educating for adulthood’ perspective. In terms of aspects of social competence, this particularly pertains to attitudes and skills in the interpersonal dimension. Hence, this part of the ‘attainability hypothesis’ can be confirmed.

On the basis of the literature we had expected to find a difference between the teachers working in different contexts concerning the social-competence goals derived from the ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective. We expected a low score by teachers in a more culturally diverse, large-city environment for the attainability of these objectives, owing to the complexity of the demands that are made on the social competence of their students. Teachers’ opinions about the attainability of these objectives do indeed point in that direction but they are not statistically significant. Teachers in less complex environments also have a relatively low score on the attainability of goals derived from the citizenship perspective. A possible interpretation of this is that teachers at schools with a more homogeneous student population and in a less complex school environment are less likely to have the ‘resources’ at their disposal for preparing their students for participation in a multicultural society (cf. Paccione, 2000; Leeman & Ledoux, 2003).

Our results show that it is not necessary to convince teachers of the importance of social-competence goals. Teachers consider working on these goals to be part of their job. The fact that teachers generally score lower on the attainability questions than on the questions concerning the appropriateness of social-competence goals for their own subject, may indicate that they do not succeed in ‘teaching social competence’ to the extent that they would like to. In line with this, our study implies that teachers need support to achieve these goals.

Teachers’ lower scores on the appropriateness and attainability of goals derived from the citizenship perspective compared to goals derived from the adulthood

perspective may indicate that they require help in a particular domain. They probably find it harder to imagine how they can integrate ‘citizenship goals’ into the teaching of their own subject, and have less confidence in what they can achieve in this respect. This follows Biesta’s (in press; see also Lawy & Biesta, 2006) conclusion that the contribution of schools to citizenship education is small compared to that of other social fields such as the family, leisure, sport, etc. Schools offer the least opportunities for practising citizenship (e.g. taking initiative, having a say and being heard). However, if we want students to learn to act as competent citizens, we should not only pay attention to creating a more democratic school environment but also enable teachers to realize citizenship goals in their own teaching. Integrating citizenship education into the regular curriculum implies that students must learn to act and behave responsibly and adequately in society with the support of domain-specific knowledge and skills. Such learning goals refer, for example, to learning to think critically, learning values and to reflect upon them, and to communicative skills. Although, this focus on citizenship education is receiving increasing support in curriculum studies, empirical research into the effectiveness of the proposed teaching strategies appears to be non-existent (what do students actually learn?) (Schuitema, ten Dam & Veugelers, in press).

If our interpretation is right that different reasons underlie the relatively low attainability scores of citizenship goals in more complex and in less complex school contexts, this would imply that teaching materials and teaching methods for working on such goals should be sensitive to the contexts for which they are meant. The fact that teachers, who work in a more complex school environment, consider social-competence goals derived from the ‘educating for adulthood’ perspective less attainable for their students than their colleagues elsewhere, indicates that teachers in these schools need extra support in this respect. Our results show that attitudes and skills in the interpersonal domain in particular are considered more difficult to achieve. We know from other empirical research that tense situations, which teachers have to react to in some way, do occur in daily educational practice (Milner, 2006; Leeman, 2006; Leeman & Radstake, in press). Teachers may interpret such situations in terms of students lacking attitudes and skills in the social domain. Likewise we know from research on teachers that citizenship values such as justice and care for others are under threat in the tense situations teachers experience in the classroom (Husu & Tirri, 2001; Maslovaty, 2000). Combining both types of research results, we suggest that teachers may experience the realization of the social-competence goals derived from the ‘educating for adulthood’ perspective as a prerequisite for being able to work successfully on the social-competence goals derived from the ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective. In these schools teachers should be given support to develop ways of improving the patterns of interaction between teachers and students and between students themselves (cf. Fallona & Richardson, 2006). Creating opportunities for teachers to exchange ‘good classroom practices’ that go beyond getting students to behave in a certain way seem to be especially important.

On the basis of our results we have also formulated some issues for further research. Four themes require further attention. Firstly, now we know that differences exist between groups of teachers, qualitative research in particular is necessary to shed more light on the *motives* of different groups of teachers and individual teachers for paying more/less attention to certain social-competence goals (from both an ‘educating for adulthood’

perspective and an ‘educating for citizenship’ perspective). How do teachers decide which competences their students will need to be able to participate adequately in society, and in particular, what task do they see for education in this, and more specifically, for their own school subject? Secondly, research regarding the *reasoning* of different groups of teachers and individual teachers on the attainability of the different types of objectives is important. To what extent do they relate the attainability of social-competence goals to the specific characteristics of the student population and/or to the resources of the school? Thirdly, ‘social competence as an educational goal’ demands research on the ways in which teachers give shape to teaching the different types of objectives. We need to know *what teachers actually do* to realize the objectives that they consider to be important. Fourthly, the notion that the ability to ‘bridge and link’ are important in a democratic and multicultural society is an invitation to developmental research on *learning environments that contribute to citizenship competences* in different school contexts. What learning content and teaching methods are suitable for this? Teaching social competence as a special project might work to some extent. Yet to improve the possibilities for transfer it may be more desirable to integrate elements of social competence into subjects and learning areas. Research aimed at developing and evaluating both kinds of learning environments is essential.

References

- Biesta, G.J.J. & Lawy, R.S. (2006). From teaching citizenship to learning democracy. Overcoming individualism in research, policy and practice. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 36 (1), 63-79.
- Biesta, G. (in press). Education and the democratic person: towards a political conception of democratic education. *Teachers College Record*.
- Boyd, D., & Arnold, M.L. (2000). Teachers' beliefs, antiracism and moral education. *Journal of Moral Education*, 29(1), 23-45.
- Elbers, E., & De Haan M. (2004). Dialogic learning in the multi-ethnic classroom. Cultural resources and modes of collaboration. In J. van der Linden & P. Renshaw (Eds.), *Dialogical perspectives on learning, teaching and instruction* (pp.17-44). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Elias, M. J. & Weissberg, R. P. (1994). The school based promotion of social competence: theory, practice and policy, in: R. J. Haggerty & L. R. Sherrod (Eds) *Stress, risk and resiliency in children and adolescents* (Cambridge, University Press), 268–316.
- Englund, M.M., Levy, A.K., Hyson, D.M., Sroufe, A. (2000). Adolescent social competence: Effectiveness in a group setting. *Child Development*, 71(4), 1049-1060.
- Ennis, E.C. (1994). Urban secondary teachers' value orientations: social goals for teaching. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 10(1), 109-120.
- Fallona, C., & Richardson, V. (2006). Classroom management as a moral activity. In C. M. Evertson & C. S. Weinstein (Eds.), *The handbook of classroom management: Research, practice & contemporary issues* (pp. 1041-1062). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Farkas, G. (2003). Racial Disparities and Discrimination in Education: What Do We know, How Do We Know It, and What Do We Need to Know? *Teachers College Record*, 105(6), 1119-1146.
- Fase, W. (1993). Intercultural education: The Dutch way. *European Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 4(2), 1-9.
- Foster-Clark, F.S., & Blyth, D.A. (1992). Coming of age in social competence research: constructs, contexts, and diversity. *Contemporary Psychology*, 37(12), 1282-1283.
- Glass, R.D. (2000). Education and the ethics of democratic citizenship. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, 19, 275-296.
- Gordon, E.W. (2003). Urban education. *Teachers College Record*, 105(2), 189-207.
- Green, A., & Preston, J. (2001). Education and social cohesion: Recentering the debate. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 76(3&4), 247-284.
- Gutman, A. (Ed.). (1994). *Multiculturalism: Examining the politics of recognition*. New York: Princeton University Press.
- Hansen, D.T. (2001). Teaching as a moral activity. In: V. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Teaching* (4th ed. pp. 826-857). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.
- Hunter, L., & Elias, M. (2000). Interracial friendships, multicultural sensitivity, and social competence: How are they related? *Journal of Applied developmental Psychology*, 20(4), 551-573.
- Jackson, S., & Bijstra, J. (2000). Overcoming psychosocial difficulties in adolescents: towards the development of social competence. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 50(2), 267-274.

- Kaplan, A. (1997). Public life: a contribution to democratic education. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 29(4), 431-453.
- Karsten, S., Ledoux, G., Roeleveld, J., Felix, C., & Elshof, D. (2003). School choice and ethnic segregation, *Educational Policy*, 17, 452-477.
- Kerr, D. (1999). Changing the political culture: the Advisory Group on Education for Citizenship and the teaching of democracy in school. *Oxford Review of Education*, 25(12), 274-285.
- Lawy, R.S., & Biesta, G. (2006). *Citizenship learning in everyday life: understanding the opportunities for democratic learning in the lives of young people*. Paper presented at the annual conference of the BERA, Warwick, 6-9 September 2006.
- Leeman, Y., & Ledoux, G. (2003). Intercultural education in Dutch Schools. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 33(4), 385-400.
- Leeman, Y. (2006). Teaching in ethnically diverse schools: teachers' professionalism. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 29(3), 341-356.
- Leeman, Y., & Pels, T. (2006). Citizenship education in the Dutch multi-ethnic context. *European Education* 38 (2) 64-75.
- Maslovaty, N. (2000). Teachers' choice of teaching strategies for dealing with socio-moral dilemmas in the elementary school. *Journal of Moral Education* 29 (4) 429-444.
- Milner, H. R. (2006). Classroom management in urban classrooms. In C. M. Evertson & C. S. Weinstein (Eds.), *The handbook of classroom management: Research, practice & contemporary issues* (pp. 491-522). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Naval, C., Print, M. & Veldhuis, R. (2002). Education for democratic citizenship in the new Europe: context and reform. *European Journal of Education*, 37(2), 107-128.
- Oates, L.St.C. (2003). Teacher-student racial congruence, teacher perceptions, and test performance, *Social Science Quarterly*, 84, 508-525.
- OECD (1997). *Prepared for life?* Paris: OECD.
- Ogbu, J. (1993). Differences in cultural frame of reference, *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 16, 483-506.
- Ogbu, J. (2003). *Black American students in an affluent suburb: a study of academic disengagement*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Paccione, A.V. (2000). Developing a commitment to multicultural education. *Teachers College Record*, 102(6), 980-1005.
- Paulle, B. (2005) *Anxiety and intimidation in the Bronx and the Bijlmer. An ethnographic comparison of two schools*. Amsterdam, Dutch University Press.
- Peetsma, T., Veen, I. van der, Koopman, P., & Schooten, E. van (2003). Kan een eenzijdige klassamenstelling de ontwikkeling van leerlingen afremmen? Effecten van de sociale en etnische klassamenstelling en verschillen hierin tussen groepen. [Effects of the social and ethnic class composition in elementary school, and differences concerning this for children with various social and ethnic backgrounds] *Pedagogische studiën*, 80(5), 339-357.
- Pels, T. (Red.) (1994). *Opvoeden in Chinese, Marokkaanse en Surinaams-Creoolse gezinnen*. [Upbringing in Chinese, Moroccan and Surinam-Creole families] Rotterdam: Instituut voor Sociologisch-Economisch onderzoek.
- Print, M., & Coleman, D. (2003). Towards understanding of social capital and citizenship education. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 33(1), 123-149.
- Putnam, R.D. (1993). *Making democracy work*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton UP

- Putnam, R.D. (2000). *Bowling alone: the collapse and revival of American community*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Radstake, H., Leeman, Y., & Meijnen, M. (in press). Spanningsvolle situaties in etnisch-heterogene klassen: ervaringen van leerlingen. *Pedagogische Studien*.
- Raver, C.C., & Zigler, E.F. (1997). Social competence: An untapped dimension in evaluating head start's success. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 12, 363-385.
- Rijkschroeff, R., ten Dam, G., Duyvendak, J.W., de Gruijter, M., Pels, T. (2005). Educational policies on migrants and minorities in the Netherlands: success or failure? *Journal of Education Policy*, 20 (4), 417-435.
- Rose-Krasnor, L. (1997). The nature of social competence: A theoretical review. *Social Development*, 6, 111-135.
- Rychen, D.S., & Salganik, L.H. (2003). *Competencies for a Successful Life and Well-Functioning Society*. Cambridge, MA / Göttingen: Hogrefe and Huber.
- Schuitema, J., ten Dam, G., & Veugelers, W. (in press). Teaching strategies for moral education: a review. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*.
- Solomon, D., Watson, M.S., & Battistich, V.A. (2001). Teaching and schooling effects on moral / prosocial development. In: V. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Teaching* (4th ed. pp. 566-603). (Washington DC, American Educational Research Association)
- Spierings, F. (2003). *De stad als gevaar?* [The city as danger?] Rotterdam: Hogeschool Rotterdam (openbare les).
- Ten Dam, G., & Volman, M. (2003). Life jacket and art of living. Social competency and the reproduction of inequality in education. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 33(2), 117-137.
- Tirri, K., & Husu, J. (2002). Care and responsibility in 'the best interest of the child': relational voices of ethical dilemmas in teaching. *Teachers and Teaching: theory and practice*, 8 (1) 65-80.
- Van der Wal, M. (2004). Students' competencies to participate in life. A study of the measurement of competencies and the impact of school. Groningen: RUG (dissertation).
- Veugelers, W. & Vedder, P. (2003). Values in Teaching. *Teachers and Teaching: theory and practice*, 9(4), 89-101.
- Verkuyten, M., & Thijs, J. (2000). *Leren (en) waarderen. Discriminatie, zelfbeeld, relaties en leerprestaties in 'witte' en 'zwarte' scholen*. [Discrimination, self-image, relations and achievement in 'white' and 'black' schools] Amsterdam: Thela Thesis.
- Wardekker, W. L. (2001) Schools and moral education: conformism or autonomy? *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 35(1), 101-114.
- Zhou, M. (2003). Urban education: Challenges in educating culturally diverse children. *Teachers College Record*, 105(2), 208-225.
- Zwaans, A., ten Dam, G., & Volman, M. (2006). Teachers' goals regarding social competence. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 29 (2), 181-202.

Figure 1. Components of social competenceⁱⁱⁱ

	Intrapersonal	Interpersonal	Societal
Attitude	Self-confidence	Confidence in other people	Democratic
	Self-respect	Respect for other people	Equality and equivalence
		Involvement with other people	Equity / care
	Willing to take responsibility for one's own actions	Willing to take responsibility for relationships with other people	Willing to take responsibility for and to participate in society
	Self-reliance, in the sense of daring to set one's own course	Willing to enter into dialogue with other people	Willing to listen to other people
Knowledge	Self-knowledge	Knowledge of social rules and manners	Knowledge of society
Reflection	critical insight into one's own wishes, abilities and motives	Critical insight into the wishes, abilities and motives of other people	Insight into the social structure of society
		Insight into group processes	Insight into social processes (inclusion and exclusion)
		Insight into the influence of one's own actions on other people	Insight into the influence of the social structure of society on intrapersonal and interpersonal functioning
			Insight into one's own capabilities and incapacabilities to act in relation to the social structure of society
Skills	Regulation skills (regulation of one's own impulses and emotions, self-control, self-discipline)		
		Social-communicative skills	Being able to handle cultural differences and differences regarding social position / status
		Being able to change perspective	Being able to handle social tensions

Table 1. Descriptive statistics on the scores for the questions on social competence as a general educational goal, an educational task of the school, attainability of objective and objective for own subject (N=284)

	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis
A: General educational goal	2,08	3,00	2,83	0,18	-1,42	1,97
B: Educational task of the school	1,48	3,00	2,69	0,27	-1,07	1,64
C: Attainability of objective	1,33	3,00	2,23	0,31	0,27	0,37
D: Objective for own subject	1,35	3,00	2,45	0,37	-0,32	-0,72

Table 2. Reliability of the scales (dimensions and aspects of social competence) (Cronbach's alpha for the questions on social competence as an attainable objective and objective for own subject)

		Attainable goal	Objective for own subject
Items/aspects	Attitude	0.88	0.89
	Knowledge	0.70	0.61
	Reflection	0.83	0.88
	Skills	0.82	0.81
Items/dimensions	Intrapersonal	0.80	0.82
	Interpersonal	0.88	0.88
	Societal	0.86	0.91

Table 3. Correlations (Spearman's rho) between scores on the question on social competence as an objective for own subject and as an attainable objective, by ethnic composition of the student population (N=269)

Goal for own subject dimensions				aspects			
% ethnic minorities	intra	inter	societal	attitude	knowledge	reflection	skills
Correlation	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.03

Attainable goal dimensions				aspects			
% ethnic minorities	intra	inter	societal	attitude	knowledge	reflection	skills
Correlation	-0.09	-0.12	-0.10	-0.13	-0.08	-0.06	-0.10

bold print = significant correlation ($p < .05$)

Table 4. Mean scores on the 'educating for adulthood' perspective and the 'educating for citizenship' perspective, by ethnic composition of the student population

Goal for own subject		perspectives	
		'educating for citizenship' perspective	'educating for adulthood' perspective
% ethnic minorities			
correlation		0.06	0.04

Attainable objective		perspectives	
		'educating for citizenship' perspective	'educating for adulthood' perspective
% ethnic minorities			
correlation		-0.08	-0.14

bold print = significant correlation ($p < .05$)

Table 5. Mean scores on the question on social competence as an objective for own subject and as attainable objective, by urban environment of the school

Objective for own subject	dimensions			aspects			
Urbanization	intra	inter	societal	attitude	knowledge	reflection	skills
Large cities	2.6	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5
Middle-sized cities	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5
Others	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4
Total	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.5

Attainable goal	dimensions			aspects			
Urbanization	intra	inter	societal	attitude	knowledge	reflection	skills
Large cities	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.1
Middle-sized cities	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.1
Others	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1
Total	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.1

Bold print = significant difference ($p < .05$) between the groups

Table 6. Mean scores on the 'educating for adulthood' perspective and the 'educating for citizenship' perspective, by urban environment of the school

Objective for own subject	perspectives		
Urbanization	'educating for citizenship' perspective	'educating for adulthood' perspective	n
Large cities	2.3	2.6	12
Middle-sized cities	2.5	2.6	56
Others	2.4	2.6	194
Total	2.4	2.6	262

Attainable goal	perspectives		
Urbanization	'educating for citizenship' perspective	'educating for adulthood' perspective	n
Large cities	2.1	2.2	12
Middle-sized cities	2.1	2.2	56
Others	2.2	2.3	194
Total	2.1	2.3	262

bold print = significant difference ($p < .05$) between the groups

ⁱ In Dutch educational policy, a student from an ethnic-minority group is a student who fulfils one of the following conditions:

- belongs to the Moluccan population group;
- at least one parent or guardian originates from Greece, Italy, (former) Yugoslavia, Cape Verde, Morocco, Portugal, Spain, Tunisia or Turkey;
- at least one parent or guardian originates from Surinam, Aruba or the Dutch Antilles;
- at least one parent or guardian has been admitted to the Netherlands by the Minister of Justice as an alien under article 15 of the Aliens Act;
- at least one parent or guardian originates from another non-speaking English country outside Europe, with the exception of Indonesia.

Schools receive proportionately more funding for ethnic-minority students to rectify their greater disadvantage (a so-called 'weighting factor').

ⁱⁱ At schools with, for example, 60% students from an ethnic-minority background (and 40% majority students), the ethnic heterogeneity is higher than at schools with, for example, 40% minority students (and 60% majority students), as the ethnic origin of the group of minority students is diverse.

ⁱⁱⁱ Source: ten Dam & Volman, 2005